

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.



United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

| m. omoro are named below) or me | subject matter which is claimed | and for which a paten | it is sought on | the invention entit | ilea: | • |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| NON <u>-</u> WOVEN FABRI | C MATERIAL AND PR | EPREG, AND C | IRCUIT BO | OARD USING | THE | SAME |
| The specification of which a. is attached hereto b. was filed on (if applicable) (in the case of a PC and as amended on | T-filed application) described a | nd claimed in internati | ional no. | filed | i | |
| I hereby state that I have reviewed by any amendment referred to above | and understand the contents of ve. | the above-identified sp | pecification, in | ncluding the claims | , as ame | nded |
| I acknowledge the duty to disclose Gode of Federal Regulations, § 1.5 Intereby claim foreign priority bending the priority bending date before that of the applications have been such applications have been | 6 (attached hereto). efits under Title 35, United Stat nd have also identified below a ation on the basis of which prio een filed. | es Code, § 119/365 of ny foreign application | any foreign a | oplication(s) for pa | atent or | |
| FORE | EIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, C | CLAIMING PRIORITY U | NDER 35 USC § | } 119 | · | |
| igountry | APPLICATION NUMBER | DATE OF FILING (day, month, year) | | DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year) | | |
| Japan | 11-041208 | 19 February 1999 | | | | |
| 0.00 | | | | | | |
| ALL FORE | IGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI | LED BEFORE THE PRIC | ORITY APPLIC | ATION(S) | | - |
| COUNTRY | APPLICATION NUMBER | DATE OF FILING (day, month, year) | | DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year) | | |
| I hereby claim the benefit under Tit listed below and, insofar as the subj application in the manner provided material information as defined in T application and the national or PCT | ject matter of each of the claims by the first paragraph of Title 3 Title 37, Code of Federal Regula | s of this application is r 5, United States Code, ations, § 1.56(a) which | not disclosed in § 112. I ackn | in the prior United nowledge the duty t | States to disclo | ise |
| U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER | DATE OF FILING (| NG (day, month, year) STATUS | | S (patented, pending, abandoned) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I hereby claim the benefit under Tit | le 35, United States Code § 119 | (e) of any United State | es provisional | application(s) liste | d below | |
| U.S. PROVISIONAL AP | PLICATION NUMBER | DA | TE OF FILING | G (Day, Month, Year) | - | |
| | | | | | | |

or patent agent(s) to prosecute this applicated d to transact all business in the Patent

| and Trademark Office connected | herewith: | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Albrecht, John W. | Reg. No. 40,481 | Larson, James A. | Reg. No. 40,443 |
| Anderson, Gregg I. | Reg. No. 28,828 | Lasky, Michael B. | Reg. No. 29,555 |
| Ansems, Gregory M. | Reg. No. 42,264 | Liepa, Mara E. | Reg. No. 40,066 |
| Batzli, Brian H. | Reg. No. 32,960 | Lindquist, Timothy A. | Reg. No. 40,701 |
| Beard, John L. | Reg. No. 27,612 | Lynch, David W. | Reg. No. 36,204 |
| Black, Bruce E. | Reg. No. 41,622 | Marschang, Diane L. | Reg. No. 35,600 |
| Blasdell, Thomas L. | Reg. No. 31,329 | McDaniel, Karen D. | Reg. No. 37,674 |
| Bogucki, Raymond A. | Reg. No. 17,426 | McDonald, Daniel W. | Reg. No. 32,044 |
| Bruess, Steven C. | Reg. No. 34,130 | McIntyre, Iain A. | Reg. No. 40,337 |
| Byrne, Linda M. | Reg. No. 32,404 | Mueller, Douglas P. | Reg. No. 30,300 |
| Carlson, Alan G. | Reg. No. 25,959 | Nelson, Albin J. | Reg. No. 28,650 |
| Caspers, Philip P. | Reg. No. 33,227 | Pauly, Daniel M. | Reg. No. 40,123 |
| Chiapetta, James R. | Reg. No. 39,634 | Phillips, John B. | Reg. No. 37,206 |
| Clifford, John A. | Reg. No. 30,247 | Plunkett, Theodore | Reg. No. 37,209 |
| Cochran, William W. | Reg. No. 26,652 | Pytel, Melissa J. | Reg. No. 41,512 |
| Daignault, Ronald A. | Reg. No. 25,968 | Reich, John C. | Reg. No. 37,703 |
| Daley, Dennis R. | Reg. No. 34,994 | Reiland, Earl D. | Reg. No. 25,767 |
| Dalglish, Leslie E. | Reg. No. 40,579 | Rittmaster, Ted R. | Reg. No. 32,933 |
| Daulton, Julie R. | Reg. No. 36,414 | Schmaltz, David G. | Reg. No. 39,828 |
| DeVries Smith, Katherine M. | Reg. No. 42,157 | Schuman, Mark D. | Reg. No. 31,197 |
| DiPietro, Mark J. | Reg. No. 28,707 | Schumann, Michael D. | Reg. No. 30,422 |
| Edell, Robert T. | Reg. No. 20,187 | Scull, Timothy B. | Reg. No. 42,137 |
| Epp Ryan, Sandra | Reg. No. 39,667 | Sebald, Gregory A. | Reg. No. 33,280 |
| Funk, Steven R. | Reg. No. 37,830 | Skoog, Mark T. | Reg. No. 40,178 |
| Glance, Robert J. | Reg. No. 40,620 | Soderberg, Richard | Reg. No. P- 43,352 |
| Golla, Charles E. | Reg. No. 26,896 | Sumner, John P. | Reg. No. 29,114 |
| Gorman, Alan G. | Reg. No. 38,472 | Sumners, John S. | Reg. No. 24,216 |
| Gould, John D. | Reg. No. 18,223 | Tellekson, David K. | Reg. No. 32,314 |
| Gregson, Richard | Reg. No. 41,804 | Trembath, Jon R. | Reg. No. 38,344 |
| Gresens, John J. | Reg. No. 33,112 | Underhill, Albert L. | Reg. No. 27,403 |
| Hamre, Curtis B. | Reg. No. 29,165 | Vandenburgh, J. Derek | Reg. No. 32,179 |
| Hillson, Randall A. | Reg. No. 31,838 | Vradenburgh, Anna M. | Reg. No. 39,868 |
| Holzer, Jr., Richard J. | Reg. No. 42,668 | Welter, Paul A. | Reg. No. 20,890 |
| Johnston, Scott W. | Reg. No. 39,721 | Wahl, John R. | Reg. No. 33,044 |
| Kadievitch, Natalie D. | Reg. No. 34,196 | Whipps, Brian | Reg. No. 43,261 |
| Kastelic, Joseph M. | Reg. No. 37,160 | Wickhem, J. Scot | Reg. No. 41,376 |
| Kettelberger, Denise | Reg. No. 33,924 | Williams, Douglas J. | Reg. No. 27,054 |
| Kaearl, Homer L. | Reg. No. 21,197 | Witt, Jonelle | Reg. No. 41,980 |
| Kowalchyk, Alan W. | Reg. No. 31,535 | Wood, William J. | Reg. No. 42,236 |
| Kowalchyk, Katherine M. | Reg. No. 36,848 | Xu, Min S. | Reg. No. 39,536 |
| Kubota, Glenn M. | Reg. No. 44,197 | | |
| Lacy, Paul E. | Reg. No. 38,946 | | |
| | _ | | |

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Merchant & Gould P.C. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Merchant & Gould P.C. at the address indicated below:

Merchant & Gould P.C. 3100 Norwest Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-4131 I hereby declare that all statements made on of my own knowledge are true and that all ments made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

| 2 | Full Name Of Inventor | Family Name ECHIGO | First Given Name Fumio | | Second Given Name | |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|--|
| 0 | Residence | City | State or Foreign Country | | Country of Citizenship | |
| | & Citizenship | Osaka | Japan | | Japan | |
| 1 | Post Office Post Office Address | | | i | State & Zip Code/Country | |
| | Address | 11-9, Toyosato 6-chome, Higashiyodogawa-ku, Osaka-shi | | | Osaka 533-0013/JAPAN | |
| Sign | ature of Inventor 2 | 01: Echigo Fumio | | Date: | rebruary 3, 2000 | |
| | Full Name | Family Name | First Given Name | | Second Given Name | |
| 2 | Of Inventor | KAWAKITA | Yoshihiro | İ | | |
| 0 | Residence | City | State or Foreign Country | | Country of Citizenship | |
| | & Citizenship | Osaka | Japan | | Japan | |
| 2 | Post Office Address | Post Office Address 10-3, Nakanohonmachi, Shijonawate-shi | | | State & Zip Code/Country Osaka 575-0051/JAPAN | |
| Sign | ature of Inventor 2 | 02: Yoshihiro Kawakita | | Date: | Awary 3, 2000 | |
| | | | | | U | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Att Mail Management (Mail Mail

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information m

ļ. š

ĮI) ŧ

İΠ

ļ.5



A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

I to patentability.

- **(1)** prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record (b) gror being made of record in the application, and il the man of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the con
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a (1)
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - **(1)** Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.